

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,674	10/22/2001	Anders Olsson	2183.300.003	8284	
27189	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER		
PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP			ELISCA, F	ELISCA, PIERRE E	
530 B STREE SUITE 2100	T		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101		3621			

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/037,674	OLSSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Pierre E. Elisca	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2006.</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 21 and 34-39 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-33 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-21, and 34-39 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e			

Application/Control Number: 10/037,674 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's RCE filed on 05/23/2006.

2. Claims1-21, and 34-39 are pending. Claims 22-33 are cancelled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Barnett et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,369,840).

As per claims 1-2, 7-13, and 15-21 discloses a computer method/system for generating and displaying a calender containing user-selected events from user-selected categories. A plurality of categories of events are provided, the method comprising of: Receiving an event signal from a client device associated with the user, wherein the event signal comprises data that is descriptive of a user interaction with a server device of the computer network (see., abstract, col 3, lines 8-61, specifically wherein said once the user has logged in, he or she can enter any of several different areas of the system, in order to perform different types of activities. A event directory allows the user to select categories of events that are of interest... col 9, lines 1-15);

Retrieving a set of instructions that correspond to the data included in the event signal; extracting the data from the event signal in accordance with the retrieved instructions;

storing the data in a database (see., col 8, lines 60-67, col 9, lines 1-15, specifically database 112).

As per claim 3, Barnett discloses the claimed method wherein said additionally comprising extracting the item of data that is denoted by the tag identified in the instructions (see., col 8, lines 60-67, col 9, lines 1-15, specifically wherein said information allowing the system to identity him or her. In response to the user entering the information, system 100 retrieves centrally stored user-specific information).

As per claims 4, 5, 14, Barnett discloses the claimed method in said the event signal includes an event ID and wherein retrieving a set of instructions that correspond to the data included in the event signal comprises retrieving a set of instructions that correspond to the event ID (see., col 8, lines 60-67, col 9, lines 1-15, specifically wherein said information allowing the system to identity him or her. In response to the user entering the information, system 100 retrieves centrally stored user-specific information).

As per claim 6, Barnett discloses the claimed method wherein a gateway module extracts the data from the event signal, and additionally comprising distributing a plurality of received event signals among several gateway modules (or filed 402 and password field 403) in order to balance a load of received events signals among the several gateway modules (see., col 8, lines 60-67, col 9, lines 1-15, specifically wherein

Page 4

said information allowing the system to identity him or her. In response to the user entering the information, system 100 retrieves centrally stored user-specific information).

5. Claims 34-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Ingrassia, Jr. et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,035,332).

As per claims 34-39 Ingrassia discloses a method for monitoring user interactions with web pages from web server using data and command lists for maintaining information visited and issued by participants, the method comprising:

Receiving via a communication network a request for web page, the request originating from a client device, retrieving responsive web page content, said responsive web page content including an instruction configured to cause the client device to send a request for additional data to an event tracking server, sending the web page content to the client device, receiving at the event tracking server said request for additional data, parsing said request for additional data to obtain event tracking information, and storing the vent tracking information in a data storage area (see., abstract, figs 1-5, col1-col 20).

### **RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS**

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 05/23/2006 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new group(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,674 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

### **REMARKS**

- 7. In response to Applicant's arguments, Applicant argues that the prior art of record (Barnett) fail to disclose the recited feature:
- a. Applicant argues that the claimed invention is directed toward an event-tracking method that tracts user's web browsing or a web browsing event-tracking method.
  However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion since claim 1 recites a method of determining event-tracking information NOT a method that tracks user's web browsing. Therefore, Applicant's argument is moot.
- b. "Applicant argues that the cited reference (Barnett) discloses a network based calendaring system that allows a user to login and manage a personal calendar and share that calendar with other users. Whereas Applicant's claimed invention is directed toward an event tracking that tracks events surrounding a user's interaction with network devices". As discussed in the previous rejection and hereby incorporated by reference, the cited reference (Barnett) discloses a computer-implemented method/system for generating and displaying a calendar containing user-selected events from user-selected categories. The users can select which categories are of interest, and then select individual events within those categories. Furthermore, fig 1 discloses a system 100, which is a networked computing environment. Individual elements communicate with one another using standard protocols (see., web server, application server, and client computer, col 4, lines 51-67, col 5, lines 1-58, and therefore a user is capable of interacting with a server device of the computer network).

c. "Barnett fails to disclose the tag (tag or ID) of independent claims 2 and 3". However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion since Barnett discloses this limitation in col 8, lines 60-67, col 9, lines 1-15, specifically wherein said information allowing the system to identity him or her. In response to the user entering the information, system 100 retrieves centrally stored user-specific information.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 571 272 6706. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571 272 6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 7

**Primary Patent Examiner** 

June 02, 2006